

THE NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL

August 15, 2011

& LegalTimes

An ALM publication

THIS WEEK
@NLJ.COM
Check out exclusive
daily news coverage,
blogs, videos and more
at our Web site.

Odd allies in Medicare fight

Plaintiffs' bar, Chamber of Commerce want feds to speed settlements.

BY DAVID INGRAM

An imposing new obstacle is getting in the way of the nation's personal-injury lawsuits. It has nothing to do with the merits of the cases, or how the courts are operating. The obstacle is Medicare, the federal health insurer for the elderly. Lawyers say the program is disrupting a countless number of their settlements.

Court papers in Connecticut describe one exam-

ple: After a minor is hurt in a traffic accident, his family agreed to a settlement of \$7,500 with the other party's insurer, but the settlement broke down when the insurer, United Services Automobile Association, said it needed to run the minor's case by Medicare. Minors aren't eligible for Medicare, but the insurer said it had no choice under federal law.

The disruptions are happening because, under a 2007 law, Medicare is making a new effort to collect

SEE MEDICARE, PAGE 4



DAVID FARBER: The Patton Boggs partner is lobbying on behalf of retailers and others. He calls coalition behind the Medicare bill "stunningly diverse."

DIEGO M. RAOZINSKI

Akin Gump's tribal campaigns

Firm's specialized practice group aided by many American Indian lobbyists.

BY ANDREW RAMONAS

In one sense, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld partner Donald Pongrace is a minority at his firm.

Of the nine full-time members of his firm's 14-year-old American Indian law and policy practice, the chairman of the group is one of only two non-Native Americans. The seven full-time Native American members of the practice belong to six different American Indian groups, including Akin Gump clients.

Akin Gump's reliance on Native American lobbyists has helped turn the firm's specialized practice group into one of the biggest of its kind in Washington.

D.C.'s largest lobby shop in terms of gross revenues as of 2009 received just over \$1 million from five tribal governments during the first half of 2011 for its lobbying work.

The Gila River Indians in Arizona, the firm's biggest American Indian lobbying client, paid Akin Gump

SEE AKIN GUMP, PAGE 5



STEVEN HOLT/PICTUREDESK

NAVAJO GENERATING STATION

New blood at Federal Circuit

Recent appointees bring fresh viewpoints, which could alter fate of patent cases.

BY SHERI QUALTERS

A flurry of new judges and nominees may change the playing field for patent cases at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Judges retiring or moving to senior status have opened the door to new appointees whose array of legal experience and viewpoints could change the fate



of cases that hit the court. "After long-term stability, there's change," said Edward Reines, a partner in the Redwood Shores, Calif., office of New York's Weil, Gotshal & Manges and the current chairman of the

Federal Circuit Advisory Council. "Change is not necessarily to be feared. Fresh perspectives are healthy."

SEE FEDERAL CIRCUIT, PAGE 9



KATHLEEN O'MALLEY: She is the first former district judge to join the court.

DIEGO M. RAOZINSKI

THE NATIONAL
LAW JOURNAL

Product Examples:

Turn your good press into great marketing!

Order your reprints as published in The National Law Journal today. Contact 202-828-0318 or msolomon@alm.com.



Hard Copy Reprints



E-Prints



Plaques

www.almreprints.com
ALMREPRINTS

Scan barcode to get a quote.



ROBERT S PECK
CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL LIT
777 6TH ST NORTHWEST STE 520
WASHINGTON DC 20001-3713
30357 6/11/2012

NATIONAL NEWS

Diverse coalition lobbying for Medicare bill

MEDICARE, FROM PAGE 1


money it's owed. The effort is targeting auto insurers, worker's compensation insurers and other third parties that are liable for injuries, like a grocery store in a slip-and-fall case. Under federal law, third parties are supposed to reimburse Medicare if the federal program has paid an injured person's medical costs.

But lawyers say they often have difficulty getting a final number, or even a good estimate, for how much Medicare is to be paid. The number is vital to determining how much a settlement should be. Even in cases where the number will be zero, as with the minor in Connecticut, the parties want to be sure because they could face sanctions of \$1,000 a day per claim if they shortchange Medicare, even by accident.

Medicare officials say their effort has the potential to save taxpayers billions of dollars, but they acknowledge the amount of paperwork is overwhelming and is causing delays. Between the fiscal years 2007 and 2010, the number of



“We're typically not on the same side....The fact that we are shows how broken the system is.” —SARAH ROONEY



SECURITY: Rep. Tim Murphy (R-Pa.) said he's heard complaints about "secondary payer" system. Seniors need to know the system has been working smoothly, "that they're not going to be caught up in a bureaucratic mess."

cases involved grew by 86%.

In Washington, an unusual set of organizations doesn't want to wait for Medicare to work through the bureaucracy. The American Association for Justice, which lobbies for plaintiffs' lawyers, and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the largest lobbying group for business, are on the same side. Joining them are major corporations such as the Walt Disney Co. and Wal-Mart Stores Inc., as well as defense-side law firms and their trade group, the Defense Research Institute.

"We're typically not on the same side of issues. The fact that we are shows how broken the system is," said Sarah Rooney, regulatory counsel for the American Association for Justice. Rooney said her organization's membership has become increasingly frustrated with the issue.

RESOLVING CLAIMS

The groups are pushing legislation that would rein in Medicare. The bill would set a hard deadline for Medicare to notify the parties to a claim how much the pro-

gram is owed, or else Medicare would lose its right to collect the money at all. It would create a "safe harbor" from the \$1,000-a-day penalties based on willfulness and other factors. It would also require Medicare to resolve its claims to reimbursement within three years of a settlement—whereas now there is no statute of limitations. Reps. Tim Murphy (R-Pa.) and Ron Kind (D-Wis.) are the bill's lead sponsors.

In an interview, Murphy said he's heard complaints about Medicare's "secondary payer" system from lawyers and seniors in his Pittsburgh-area district. "Seniors need the peace of mind of knowing this has been working smoothly, that they're not going to be caught up in a bureaucratic mess that's going to cost them money," he said.

Patton Boggs partner David Farber said the collection of interests behind the bill is "stunningly diverse." He's lobbying for the bill on behalf of the Medicare Advocacy Recovery Coalition, which includes grocers, retailers and defense-side law firms.



STACEY PIETROWICZ: The Sugarman and Sugarman lawyer said Medicare case settlement was delayed.

The system as it is, he said, makes it more likely that defendants will go to trial, something they usually try to avoid.

"The result of the mandatory \$1,000-per-claim penalty will be companies being all the more cautious about settlements, and it may result in a contraction of the number of settlements simply because the risk of penalty is greater than the risk of not settling," Farber said. (The penalties already exist in some cases and are scheduled to take full effect in January.)

Medicare officials frame the problem as one of resources and education. Deborah Taylor, the chief financial officer of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, told a House oversight subcommittee in June that officials are examining possible internal changes to make the process more efficient. She said proposals like the pending legislation "would adversely affect savings that would otherwise accrue to the Medicare Trust Funds"—meaning they threaten to cost taxpayers money. A spokeswoman for

the centers did not respond to interview requests last week.

COSTLY DELAYS

Lawyers who interact with the system say it's already costing taxpayers money because it's so inefficient. In one example that has made the rounds on Capitol Hill, Medicare sent a demand letter in 2009 in a personal-injury case asking to be reimbursed for \$1.59 out of a \$4,500 settlement. A provision in the pending legislation would exempt from Medicare's collection efforts any cases below a certain threshold, to be determined later.

In other cases, Medicare's not getting the money as quickly as it could. Stacey Pietrowicz, an associate at Sugarman and Sugarman in Boston, said Medicare inadvertently closed its file on one of her clients, a man who brought a wrongful death suit after his wife died in a case of alleged medical malpractice. Pietrowicz had to restart the process of reimbursing Medicare for the woman's care, delaying the settlement of the wrongful death suit by about three months until she received Medicare's final demand for payment. The settlement money sat in escrow.

"It's truly ironic that trial lawyers can't get the government to take back money that belongs to the taxpayers," said Marianne LeBlanc, a partner at Sugarman and Sugarman. "The problem is that they won't tell us how much we owe them, so we can't pay them."

William Cremer, who represents insurance companies as a partner at Cremer, Spina, Shaughnessy, Jansen & Siebert in Chicago, said the Medicare rules affect every case his firm handles, even if they don't think Medicare paid any medical bills in a case. That's because the firm still has to ask and verify, so it doesn't get hit with a penalty. "That's why it has this paralyzing effect on getting these cases done," Cremer said.

David Ingram can be contacted at din@alm.com.